## **DOF** transcription factors in developing peanut (Arachis hypogaea) seeds

Haiyan Yan<sup>1</sup>, Jiaquan Huang<sup>2</sup>, Boshou Liao<sup>2</sup>, Xianqing Lan<sup>1</sup>, Qiuting Luo<sup>1</sup>, Junlong Tang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Life Sciences College, South-Central University for Nationalities, Wuhan, China

<sup>2</sup>Oil Crops Research Institute of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Key Laboratory of Oil Crop Biology of the Ministry of Agriculture, Wuhan, China

Email: haiyuan988@yahoo.com

Received 25 October 2011; revised 24 November 2011; accepted 3 December 2011

### ABSTRACT

DNA binding with one finger (DOF) transcription factors play important roles in storage material accumulation and morphogenesis of developing seeds. Oil and protein contents varied in different cultivars in important oil crop peanut. DOF proteins have not been studied in this crop. In this paper, we analyzed all the DOF genes expressed in developing seeds from a cDNA library with 20,000 transcripts, cloned and compared similar genes of GW391729 from eight peanut cultivars, and analyzed similar genes expressed in root and leave with control and inoculated with Ralstonia solanacearum. The results indicate that total eight types of DOF genes were expressed in developing seeds of cultivar 063103. Most of DOF transcription factors expressed involved in develop- mental process in a complicated way. Among them, GW391729 is possible related to the seed number in fruit, and also is possible related to leafspot resistance. Detailed function of these DOF proteins need to be further studied.

Keywords: DOF; Leafspot; Peanut; Seed Development; Seed Number

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

DOF family proteins are plant specific with the structure feature of C2-C2 formed Zn-finger binding to DNA motif T/AAAAG at their N-terminal, which possess functions in various biological process in plants [1-3]. The DOF proteins have been systematically analyzed in arabidopsis, rice (Oryza sativa subsp. Japonica), poplar (*Populus trichocarpa*) and soybean (*Glycine max*) [1,2,4, 5]. DOF proteins have been classified into seven groups [1], and each group contains certain number of conserved sequences [2]. The function of proteins are composed of subfunctions, which are determined by the responsive subdomains in the proteins. The conserved DOF zinc

finger domains in DOF proteins can bind to cis DNA core elements AAAG [6], and may also interact with other proteins including DOF itself [7]. The differences in DOF zinc finger domains determined its binding affinity with specific cis AAAG motif, which could locate in different genes or in different elements of one promoter [8]. The region outside DOF zinc finger domain could have different function, such as modifying structure of the DOF zinc finger or interacting with other proteins, etc [9,10]. Thus it is possible to bioinformatically analyze the function of unknown DOF proteins by paired comparison of similar amino acid sequences of conserved domains.

DOF proteins play different regulation role in various developmental stages in plants. PBF (P box binding factor) is the DOF protein studied in a great detail in the process of seed development and formation of storage material. PBFs expressed in endosperm in maize (Zea mays), barley (Hordeum vulgare), rice and wheat (Triticum aestivum), can bind to cis-elements in endosperm specific promoters to regulate the expression of endosperm specific genes as well as typical storage protein genes [11,12]. The wheat PBFs (WPBF), however, have been reported to be expresseed in all types of tissues, and interacts with a TaOM protein from root [13]. PBFs can bind to dof box in the promoter of storage protein gene, and also interact with other transcription factors which binding with the same promoter in the adjacent region such as PBF with O2 [9], BPBF with GAMYB [10] and OBF with OBP [14]. It has also been demonstrated that in some genes there are more than one dof boxes in promoters [15]. For example, ZmDof1 can binds to multiple sites in the promoter of CYPPDK1 and PEPCZM2A genes [15]. In promoters of major storage proteins ARAH1 and ARAH3 genes of peanut, there are also multiple dof boxes, which even takes up more than one third of known cis-elements of promoter [16-18].

A genome-wide analysis of genes expressed in arabidopsis revealed as many as 18 DOF genes expressed in



different stages and positions of the developing seeds [19]. Some of them have function in morphogenesis process, such as At2g28510, At5g60200, At5g66940, At3g-55370 and At2g28810 in vascular differentiation [20-26], and At5g62430 in flower initiation [27-29]. Some has function as stress response regulation factor, such as At3g47500 [30,31] and At5g39660 [32]. Some has function in several types of activities such as At5g39660 [27,30,32,33], At3g47500 [30,31,34] and At2g28510 [20-22.35]. In legume plant G. max. 28 GmDof cDNA have been cloned, and their expression patterns have been studied with RT-PCR [5]. GmDof4 and GmDof11 can increase seed lipid content by network regulation in transgenic arabidopsis plants [5]. For DOF genes in developing seed of A. hypogaea, little information is available except a few EST sequences from several cDNA libraries. The polymorpholism of DOF genes expressed in these plants and their possible roles remain to be investigated.

In a cDNA library of developing seeds from peanut variety 063103, eight clones of *DOF* cDNAs from over 20,000 clones have been found. These clones were sequenced, translated and compared with AtDofs, GmDofs and ZmPBF. The AhDof proteins are structurally classified and their functions are suggested via bioinformatic and theoretic analysis.

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Materials

Eight DOF cDNA clones are from a cDNA library of developing seeds of peanut line 06-3103, which is leaf spot disease resistance. Additional DOF cDNA sequences similar to DF cDNAs from peanut seed are screened from cDNA libraries of leave and root of control and innoculated with Ralstonia solanacearum. The vector is pDNR-LIB (CLONTECH). The plasmids are purified and the inserts are examined by PCR with M13F and M13R primers. The DOF clones are sequenced with M13R and T7 primers by BGI (Huada Gene) Company in Wuhan. The DOF ESTs from peanut cultivars VBL6, GT-C20 of developing seeds are obtained with blastn-est program from GeneBank [36]. The cDNA sequences are translated into protein sequence with AUGUSTUS (version 2.1) [37]. The AhDof ests from 06-3103 are deposited in GeneBank as: GW391722, GW391723, GW391-724, GW391725, GW391726, GW391727, GW391728, GW391729.

Other proteins and Ests from peanut listed in text are from GeneBank and Swiss-Prot. DNA extracted from Material listed in **Table 3**.

## 2.2. Cloning of DOF Genes from Genomic DNA of Nine Peanut Cultivars

DNA was extracted with CTAB method [38] and used as

templete in PCR cloning of DOF genes.

PCR primers for *GW391729* are: S37: 5'GAAGCA-GAAGGAAGGAAGG 3', A1133: 5'ACAACAAAGCA-AAGTAATAAA 3'.

PCR reaction mix was 25  $\mu$ L in total, contained ddH<sub>2</sub>O 18.3  $\mu$ L 10x PCR buffer 2.5  $\mu$ L, dNTP (each 10  $\mu$ M) 1  $\mu$ L, forward and reverse primers (10  $\mu$ M) each 1  $\mu$ L, DNA templete 1  $\mu$ L, Taq polymerase (Takara) 0.2  $\mu$ L. The PCR reaction for *GW391729* is: 95°C 2 min, then the cycle 95°C 40 s, 49.5 (each cycle down 0.4)°C 30 s, 72°C 40 s, for 20 cycles, next cycle, 95°C 40 s, 41.5°C 30 s, 72°C 40 s for 18 cycles, then 72°C for 7 mins. The specific products are purified and constructed into pM-D18T vectors, the positive clones are sequenced by BGI (Huada) genomic company and Ebioe (Shenggong) company.

#### 2.3. Sequence Comparison and Analysis

DOF cDNAs from peanut line 06-3103 were batch blasted with blasx-nr and blast-swiss to get mostly similar DOF proteins from arabidopsis and soybean, maize PBF was used as a reference [39]. Then the selected seed DOF proteins were pairewise compared with GeneDoc software. The conserved domains are searched with conserved motifs listed by Lijavetzky *et al.* [2]. The DOF proteins are classified by the similarity comparison with that of arabdopsis according to Yanagisawa [1].

#### 2.4. Bioinformatic Analysis on Function of DOF Proteins

The information of expression patterns of AtDofs similar to Ahdofs from developing seeds of 06-3101 were collected from iHOP (Information Hyperlinked over Proteins), <u>http://www.ihop-net.org/</u> [40,41]. The information about functions of related DOF proteins are also picked from literature by searching key word "DOF" and genebank association numbers in NCBI Pubmed, and by searching TAIR and linked information.

#### **3. RESULTS**

#### 3.1. Eight Different DOF Genes Expressed in Developing Peanut Seed

Eight different *DOF* genes were found from a cDNA library from developing seed with over 20,000 clones of cultivar 063103. The resulted DOF proteins belong to DOF type II, III, IV, V, and VII respectively according to Lijavetzky *et al.* [2] (**Table 1**). GW391725, GW391726 and GW391727 are similar to three CYCLING DOF FACTORs (CDF) genes (type II), AtCDF3, AtCDF2 and AtCDF1 in arabidopsis respectively (**Figure 1(a)**). GW-391722 belong to type III, similar to GmDof 11 and At-Dof2.1 (**Figure 1(b)**). GW391723 is similar to AtDof2.2

Group <sup>1</sup>	GeneBank Association Number	Location/Domain number1	Conserved sequences		
II CDFs		3N	KDPAIK(T)LFGKTIPV(L/F)P		
		4N	K(G)T(A/K)L(V)KKPD(T)K(V)ILP		
	GW391725 GW391726	14C	NXXTV(L)LT(S/A)FGS(L)D(E)XXLCESMA(T)SV(G)L NLXEK(D)		
	GW391727	2C	E(E)EAAKSSIWTTLGIK		
	GO332017 At5g39660	23C	$\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{C})\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{I})\mathbf{P}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{A})\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{P})\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{W}$		
	At3g47500	18C	PFYPXYWG		
	At5g62430 DO857278	5C	LQANPAALSRS(XX)Q(N)(S/C)FHE(GS)		
	- (00.1-00	9C	SPTLGKHS(P)RD(E)E		
		30C C(S)L(V)W(L)VPKTLRIDDPN(E)EAAKSSIW IK			
		12N	ERKP(A)RPQ(H)P(K/V)E(D)QALK		
	GW391722	AhGm N	MDPSSGQxQ(E)MSSXQS(G)L(V)E		
III	DQ857261	13C	S(I) <b>D</b> LT <b>LAL(V)A</b> XXRLQKQS		
	DQ857263	11C	K(R)VLGFPWN-D-N-G-D-N-GE-D-S-R		
		20C	GD—DS—RW		
	GW391724	AhGmAtN	MPS—S-ESRR—K-PAP—QE-L		
IV	At5g66940 DQ857251	33C cp AhAtC	GPGG-FFGLGLG-GE-V—GW-FDA TWQFEGGFVSGD		
V	GW391723 At2g28810	17N	MVF-S—P-YLDPP		
VII	GW391728	Ν	H(K)V(L/I)OOOO(E)A(O)L(P)K(R)		
	DQ889513 DQ857255	С	L(V/A)K(S)RSS-VL(I)SSSD(H)SSH		

**Table 1.** Comparison of the conserved domains between AhDofs, GmDof and AtDofs besides DOF domains.

<sup>1</sup>amino acids inside the brackets are alternatives in different DOFs 1 [1].

(Figure 1(c)), belong to type V. GW391724 is similar to AtDof3.4 and GmDof2 (Figure 1(d)), belong to type IV. GW391728 is similar to AhDof3 (ACF74278.1) and GmDof5 (Figure 1(e)), belong to type VII; GW391729 is similar to but at less similar level to AtDof2.2 and GmDof12 (Figure 1(f)). Among these similar AtDof and GmDof proteins, All DOFs are expressed in developing seed [19,40].

Different types of DOF proteins not only have their distinct sequences in N and C terminal regions (Table 1), but also have their specific characteristics in conserved DOF finger regions (Figure 2). The key structure in zinc finger domain is two CXXC bridges and a C residue surrounded by some conserved amino acids between two CXXCs. Four C residues in two CXXCs binding with one zinc ion cause the formation of a finger like conformation in this region. There are four variable motifs in zinc finger domain among AhDofs, GmDof and AtDofs. The first motifs is N (or K/D/E) SM (orT/P/S) D (E/N) which locates right after CPRC (Figure 2). The second is NVN (NIN/NFS/NYS/SLS/SLT), which is after KFCY-YNNY, the middle conserved domain containing middle C residue (Figure 2). The third variable region cover the second CXXC, H (or/Y) FACKN (or/A/S/T/K) CQ (or/R/K) RYWTS (or/A/H/K/R) (**Figure 2**). The forth variable region is AGR (/GGS/GVS/GGC/GSY) (**Figure 2**), right before the possible NLS sequence RKN—KR [42,43]. The N terminal CXXC is conserved as CPRC in AhDofs listed, the second CXXC in AhDofs listed have variation as CKNC (CDFs), CKSC (GW391724, GW-391722), CKAC (GW391729, GW391728, and PBF of Maize) and CKTC (GW391723) (**Figure 2**).

It is obvious that in all CDFs there is coherence among four variable regions and consistency among different CDFs in the same region (Figure 2). It is coherent that the four variable regions are all relatively conserved in CDFs. They are N (or K) SMD (for peanut) (E for arabidopsis), NV (or I) N, HFCKN (for peanut and soybean) (A or K for arabidopsis) Q-A(or S), AGR. The CDFs are consistent in that the conserved combination of amino acids are rich of N or Q (only one) which are polar amino acid residues without charge. In the key variable region, the variable amino acids have the same physiochemical property, such as D and E in the first region, V and I in the second region (Figure 2). Some are restrictly the same, such as M before D or E, N-N, Q after the second CXXC, AGR before RKN. The common property in CDF zinc DOF domain right before nuclear



Figure 1. Phylogenetic trees of AhDofs with their similar DOF proteins.

			I	II	III	IV
GW391726	2	QDKTLKKPDKI	PRCNSMDAKECY	YNNY <mark>NVN</mark> OPRI	HECKNCORYW	AGGTMRNVPVGAGRRKNKN
GW391725	1	QDKTLKKPDKILPC	PRCNSMDTKFCY	YNNY <mark>NVN</mark> QPRI	HECKNCQRYW!	I <mark>A</mark> GGTMRNVPVC <mark>A</mark> GRKN <mark>KN</mark>
G0332017	- 8					
GW391727		QEKAVKKPDKVIP	prc <b>ns<mark>m</mark>dtkfcy</b>	YNNY <mark>N</mark>		
AT5G39660		QEGKLKKPDKILPC	PRCNS <mark>ME</mark> TKECY	YNNY <mark>NVN</mark> QPRI	HECKKCQRYW'	AGGTMRNVPVGAGRRKNKS
GMDOF27 L	1	PC	PRCNSMDTKFCY	YNNY <mark>NVN</mark> OPRI	HECKNCORYW!	I <mark>A</mark> GG <mark>V</mark> MRNVFVG <mark>A</mark> GRKN <mark>KN</mark>
AT3G47500	1	KTLKKPTKIIPC	PRC <mark>K</mark> S <mark>ME</mark> TKFCY	YNNY <mark>NIN</mark> OPRI	HECKACORYW	IAGGTMRNVPVGAGRRKNKS
AT5G62430		DKTLKKPTKILPC	PRCNSMETKECY	YNNY <mark>NVN</mark> OPRI	HECKACORYW	ISGGTMRSVPIGAGRRKNKN
EE125478		SSSEPMKC	prc <mark>e</mark> s <mark>t</mark> ntkfcy	YNNY <mark>NKS</mark> OPR	ILCRTCKRHW?	KVD-LRNVPVGGRKNKR
GW391724		AEQEHLPC	prc <mark>ds</mark> tntkfcy	YNNY <mark>NF</mark> SOPRI	HECKSCRRYW'	IHGGTLRDI PVGGGSRKNAKR
AT5G66940	1	DQQEQLSC	prc <mark>e</mark> s <mark>t</mark> ntkfcy	YNNY <mark>NF S</mark> OPRI	HECKSCRRYW	HGGTLRDI PVGGVSRKSSKR
GMDOF2	<b>1</b> 2	PEQENLPC	PRC <mark>DS</mark> TNTKFCY	YNNY <mark>NYS</mark> OPRI	HFCKSCRRYW!	HGGTLRDI PVGGGSRONAKR
GW391722		RKPRPQPE-QALKC	PRC <mark>DS</mark> TNTKFCY	YNNY <mark>SLSOPR</mark>	YECKSCRRYW'	KGGTLRNVPVGGGCRKN-KR
G0339137	1					
GMDOF13	1	RKPTPQPE-QALKC	PRCDSTNTKFCY	YNNY <mark>SLS</mark> QPR	YECKSCRRYW	KGGTLRNVPVGGGCRKN-KR
GMDOF11		KKPKPHPE-QALKC	PRC <b>DS<mark>T</mark>NTKFCY</b>	YNNYSLSOPR	YFCKSCRRYW!	r <mark>k</mark> gg <b>t</b> lrnvpvg <b>g</b> g <mark>c</mark> rk <mark>kr</mark>
AT2G28510		KKKVSRGELGGEAONC	PRC <mark>ESP</mark> NTKECY	YNNYSLSOPR	YECKSCRRYW?	KGGTLRNVPVGGGCRRN-KR
AT5G60200		TRPOPPEL-ALRC	prc <mark>d</mark> s <mark>t</mark> ntkfcy	YNNY <mark>SLT</mark> OPR	YECKSCRRYW'	I <mark>K</mark> GGTLRNI PVGGCRKN-KR
G0324523		LRC	PRCDS <mark>T</mark> NTKFCY	YNNY <mark>NKSOPRI</mark>	HECRACKRHW!	I <mark>K</mark> GGTLRNVPVGGG-RKN <mark>KR</mark>
GW391728		EHVQQQQQQQQQA	PRC <b>DS<mark>S</mark>NTKFCY</b>	YNNY <mark>SLS</mark> OPRI	HFCKACKRYW	I <mark>R</mark> GGTLRNVPVGGGCRKN <mark>TKRLKRC</mark>
EE124644		EHVQQQQQQALKC	PRC <b>DS<mark>S</mark>NTKFCY</b>	YNNY <mark>SLS</mark> QPRI	HECKACKRYW!	I <mark>R</mark> GGTLRNVPVGGGCRKN <mark>TKRLKRC</mark>
024463	÷	GERKPRPQLP-EALKC	PRC <b>DS<mark>N</mark>NTKFCY</b>	YNNY <mark>SMS</mark> QPR	YECKACRRYW	I <mark>H</mark> GGTLRNVPIGGGCRKN <mark>KHASRFV</mark>
GMDOF5 L	1	DOLVOOOOOOCEAPKC	PRCDS <mark>S</mark> NTKECY	YNNY <mark>SLS</mark> OPRI	HECKACKRYW	I <mark>R</mark> GG <b>T</b> LRNVPVG <b>G</b> G <mark>C</mark> RRN <mark>-KRVKRP</mark>
AT1G28310		000000P00P0P0P	PRC <b>DS<mark>S</mark>NTKFCY</b>	YNNY <mark>SLS</mark> OPRI	HFCK <mark>A</mark> CKRYW	I <mark>R</mark> GGTLRNVPVG <mark>GSY</mark> RKN <mark>-KRVKRP</mark>
GW391723 –		PRSGSEGALKC	prc <mark>e</mark> s <mark>s</mark> ntkfcy	FNNY <mark>SLS</mark> QPRI	HECK <mark>T</mark> CRRYW	I <mark>R</mark> GG <mark>A</mark> LRNVPVGGGFRRN <mark>KK-TKRS</mark>
AT2G28810	- 6	ALKC	PRC <mark>DSA</mark> NTKFCY	FNNY <mark>NLT</mark> OPRI	HECK <mark>A</mark> CRRYW	I <mark>R</mark> GG <mark>A</mark> LRNVPVGGGCRRN <mark>KK-GKSG</mark>
G0332293	1			PR	YECK <mark>a</mark> ckrew!	IGGTLRNI PVGGGARA
GW391729		S*SRMEKQKEEGGWKC	PRCDS <mark>S</mark> NTK <u>FC</u> Y	YNNY <mark>SLSOPRI</mark>	HFCK <mark>A</mark> CKRYW	RGGTLRNVPVGGGSRKNKRR
GMDOF12 L		DLLOOOOOALKC	PRC <mark>ESSNTKECY</mark>	YNNYSLSOPRI	HECKACKRYW	RGGTLRNVPVGGGCRKN-KRVKRP

Figure 2. Comparison of DOF domain sequences between similar AhDofs, AtDofs and GmDofs.

localization sequences possibly provided the structure basis for their common specific DNA binding property related to the function of CDF types of DOF proteins.

The second similarity group of DOFs contains GW-391724, At5g66940 and GmDof2. They have variable I region as D(E)ST, variable II region as NFS, the third variable region as SCR—H, the forth variable region as G(V)SR (**Figure 2**).

The specific conserved domain in GW391722 similarity group is in region III, YFCKSCRRYWTK (**Figure 2**).

GW391722,23,28,29 all contained a same N-glycosylation site overlay the DOF middle conserved domain and variable II region (NYSL), All three CDF and GW-391724 do not have N-glycosylation site in conserved DOF domain, but three overlayed N-glycosylation site on the N-terminal outside of conserved DOF domain.

From ESTs deposited in GeneBank database derived from various cDNA libraries of developing seed of peanut, partial sequences of four *DOF* cDNAs (*GO332017*, *GO339137*, *GO324523*, *GO332293*) from VBL6 cDNA library, two *DOF* cDNAs (*EE124644*, *EE125478*) from Luhua4 cDNA library, and one (*ES703897*) from Tifton C20R5 cDNA library were obtained, translated and compared with DOF proteins from 06-3103. GO332017 is a partial sequence with 215 amino acids, highly similar to C terminal domain of AhCDF3s (GW391726, GW-391725) (**Figure 3(c)**). Within its N terminal 205 amino acids residues, only 13 amino acids are different from that of GW391726, within its N terminal 86 amino acids residues, only 9 amino acids are different from that of GW391725 (**Figure 3(c)**), which is different between species. GO339137 is highly similar to a 67 aa at C terminal of GW391722, with only 6 aa residues difference between them (**Figure 3(a)**). These differences are possibly the result from differences between species. GO-324523, GO332293 have little similarities to other domains outside of DOF zinc finger domain with known AhDofs.

# 3.2. GW391729 Was Expressed in Peanut Leave Inoculated with *Ralstonia solanacearum*

DOF genes expressed from cDNA libraries of roots and leave with control and inoculated with *Ralstonia solanacearum* from same cultivar 063103 were examined. *GW*-391729 was found expressed only in leave inoculated with *Ralstonia solanacearum*, not in controled leave (**Figure 4**), this indicates that *GW391729* is possibly related to the leaf resistant to *Ralstonia solanacearum*. In leave of both controled and inoculated with *Ralstonia solanacearum*, similar but different ests to *GW391724* 





Figure 4. Comparison of GW391729 and cDNA F09 from leaf inoculated with Ralstonia solanacearum.

were expressed (**Figure 5**), these ests are not the same DOF proteins as GW391724, possibly related to the leaf development. In root inoculated with *Ralstonia solana-cearum*, an est similar to but different from *GW391722* was expressed (**Figure 6**), its function is possibly related to root response to *Ralstonia solanacearum*. As for function of *GW391724* and *GW391722* expressed in seed and different from those in leaf and root respectively, need further investigation.

#### 3.3. Evolution Origion of AhDofs from Developing Seeds

The blastn results using the est sequences of AhDofs from

developing seeds of 063103 with Genebank tools show that the most similar AhDofs are from wild peanut species *A. ipaensis* (**Table 2**), which is the source of BB genome of cultured peanut specie [44]. This indicates that all the eight AhDofs are possible from peanut BB genome.

Among AhDofs, ESTs from wild *Arachis* species similar to *GW391722*, *GW391725*, *GW391726*, *GW-391727* are only from *A. ipaensis* (**Table 2**), indicates these four genes are possible locate in only BB genome. For *GW391723*, *GW391728*, *GW391729*, beside that the most similar ests are from *A. ipaensis*, there are two similar ests to each from seed of *A. duranensis* (**Table 2**), which is the origin of AA genome of cultured peanut





Figure 6. Comparison of GW391722 and similar cDNAs from root inoculated with Ralstonia solanacearum.

specie [44]. This reveals that in AA genome there are possible similar alle genes.

## 3.4. GW391729 Are Different between Strains with Different Seed Numbers in a Fruit

Sequences of GW391729 from 8 cultivars were compared and analysied. Most of cultivars with 3 seeds per fruits have distinctive sequences, which is different from those with 2 seeds per seeds (**Table 3**). But it seems that size of seeds and resistance to intrusion of seed do not related to GW- 391729.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The integrated function of a protein is determined by its integration of structure and sequence. The different domain of a protein may have different activities. DOF proteins have relatively conserved zinc finger domain to bind to DNA promoter sequence, and variable C terminals different structure in zinc finger domain determined their specific binding targets [7]. Specific C terminal sequences and structure determined their specific reaction other than binding to DNA. Thus the specific function of

GeneBank Acc. No.	Species	Similarity Score	Similar DOF	Genome
GW391722	A. hypogaea			
GW974537	A. ipaensis	638	GmDof13	BB
GO339137	VBL6	335	Dof11	
GW391723	A. hypogaea			
GW973881	root A. ipaensis	987	OBP3	BB
GW947099	Seed A. duranensis	869	OBP3	AA
GW946556	Seed A. duranensis	339	At2g37590	AA
GW391725	A. hypogaea			
GO332017	VBL6	1079	AT5g39660 CDF2	
GW983610	A. ipaensis	843	Dof27	BB
GW965234	A. ipaensis	822	AT5g39660 CDF2	BB
GO259985	VBL1	459		
GW391726	A.hypogaea			
GO332017	VBL6	1094	AT5g39660 CDF2	
GW965234	A. ipaensis	843		BB
GW983610	A. ipaensis	830	Dof27	BB
GO259985	VBL1	468		
GW978277	A. ipaensis	287	CDF3	BB
GW391727	A.hypogaea			
GW978277	A. ipaensis	287	CDF3	BB
GW391728	A.hypogaea			
EE124644	Luhua14	1051	Dof5	
GW989805	A. ipaensis	1014		BB
GW950436	Seed A. duranensis	422		AA
GW948904	Seed A. duranensis	363		AA
GW935134	root A. ipaensis	283	Dof8	BB
GW977281	A. ipaensis	276	Dof12	BB
GW391729	A.hypogaea			
GW982725	A. ipaensis	1155	Dof12	BB
GW980043	A. ipaensis	665	Dof12	BB
GW967091	root A. ipaensis	422	Dof12	BB
GW953727	Seed A. duranensis	318	Dof5	AA
GW936909	root A. duranensis	316	Dof5	AA

**Table 2.** Similarity analysis of DOF est to those from original species in Arachis genus.

Table 3. Differential sites of GW391729 among from 8 cultivars.

Cultivar				Sites in protein								
Pro	perty	58	79	82	96	99	104	$120 \sim 122$	146	154	174	$176 \sim 178$
1	3	С	Т	Н	Н	Р	А	AST	Р	Р	Р	~~~
2	2s	С	Т	Н	Н	L	А	AST	Р	Р	Р	~~~
3	2RI	С	Т	Н	Н	L	А	AST	Р	Р	Р	~~~
4	2L	S	Ν	D	D	L	V	AST	Р	А	Р	~~~
5	3s	S	Ν	D	D	L	V	~~~	Н	Р	Н	SLI
6	3	S	Ν	D	D	L	V	~~~	Н	Р	Н	SLI
7	3RI	S	Ν	D	D	L	V	~~~	Н	Р	Н	SLI
8	2RI	S	Ν	D	D	L	V	~~~	Н	Р	Н	SLI

(notes: 2, 3-4-seeds per fruit; s-small; RI-resistant to intrusion of Aspergillus flavus, L-large). 1-Indored; 2-Danfeng; 3-laofandou; 4-xh3; 5-Meiyin8; 6-NcA927; 7-ICG12370; 8-ICG4750.

DOF proteins can be deduced by their sequence similarities to each structure domain with known function.

GW391725. GW391726 and GW391727 are three highly similar DOFs within AhDofs. They are mostly similar to AtCDF1 (At5g62430), AtCDF2 (At5g39660) and AtCDF3 (At3g47500). They contain all the conserved motifs of group II DOF proteins [1] (Table 1). CDF genes were first identified in Arabidopsis with yeast two-hybrid screen for proteins interacting with C terminal kelch repeats of FKF1, as well as that of LKP2, which can degrade CDFs, but not that of ZEITLUPE (ZTL) which functions in different signal transduction pathways [45,46]. Three AtCDFs were isolated as At-CDF1 (At5g62430), AtCDF2 (At5g39660), AtCDF3 (At-3g47500) [45,46]. AtCDF1 was further found to bind to the promoter of CO gene as a key negative factor in flowering pathway. By degrading the CDF1, FKF1 can release the inhibition of CDF1 on CO expression and thus release the inhibition of flowering [45,46]. Thus AtCDF1 is an inhibitor for flowering. The highest expression of AtCDF1 is in cauline senescent leave, stem between 1st and 2nd intenode, the intermediate expression level is detected in vegetative rosette, early embryo stages 3 to 5, and the pods in the same stages, each flower organs of flower stages 12 to 15, as well in mature pollen. AtCDF1 was also reported restricted in vasculature [29]. AtCDF2 and AtCDF3 do not have effect on flowering [45], while another study indicates AtCDF2 also function in repression of photoperiod induced flowering [47]. AtCDF2 and AtCDF3 expressed more specifically in developing seeds, the expression level increased from torpedo stage till the highest level at curling cotyledon stage 9 [40,41]. The expression of AtCDF2 is more specific in developing seed and dry seeds than AtCDF3. Besides in developing seeds, AtCDF2 is also expressed in mature pollen and cauline senescent leave. AtCDF3 expressed at higher level in cauline senescent leave, stem between 1st and 2nd intenode than AtCDF2, it also expressed in vegetative leave, stage 15 flower/ pedicel, stage 15 petal and stamen, lower expression lever in sepal, early stage of surface of pods [40,41]. All three *AtCDFs* (*At3g47500*, *At5g39660* the and At5g62430) are expressed in sperm cells and they are the only DOF genes expressed there of the 32 DOF transcription factors [27]. In cold acclimations, AtCDF2 and AtCDF3 are two of five DOF genes upregulated [29,30]. AtCDF3 has been known as H-protein promoter binding factor-2a. Since three AtCDFs all interact with FKF1 and LPK, and they all have long N terminal domains before DOF zinc domains which located just before NLS and binding to DNA sequences on promoters of genes, thus may cause large bulk at binding sites and possibly hinder the interaction of other transcription factors with the promoters, so that the function of CDFs may be conserved in higher plants, and they act as inhibitors for expression of certain genes.

GW391722 has a similarity of 94% and 91% to At-5g60200 and At2g28510 within 56 aa residues in DOF conserved domain respectively, 85% to GmDof13 in DOF domain with 97 aa residues, 54% to GmDof11 in DOF zinc finger containing domain with145 aa residues, it also have DOF group III conserved motif 13, 11, 20 [1], belonging to group III. Both group III DOF At5g60200 and At2g28510 were reported to regulate vascular formation process [19]. At2g28510 was upregulated by cytokinin [21] and GA4 [20] stimulation. Compared to At2g28510, more expression of At5g60200 was detected in shoot meristem [39,40]. The expression of At2g28510 enriched in 24 h imbibed seeds. In G. max, under control of 35S CaMV promoter, GmDof11 increase the lipid content in seeds of trangenic Arabidopsis plants by binding to a P-box like cis DNA element and also regulate the expression of a set of genes [4]. This indicates that GW-391722 may have possible function in both vascular differentiation and metabolism by binding to promoters of a set of genes.

GW391724 shared sequence similarity of 81% to Gm-Dof2 zinc finger domain with 64 aa residues, 73% to AtDof3.4 (At3g50410, OBP1) zinc finger domain with 63 aa residues, 72% to AtDof5.8 (At5g66940) zinc finger domain with 66 aa residues. It has a DOF group IV conserved motif 33 and a undetermined motif among GW-391724, AtDof5.8, and GmDof2 (Table 1), so possibly belong to group IV [1]. Group IV DOF At5g66940 is highly expressed in shoot apex inflorescence, vegetative, and transition stage, and in a patchy pattern in young floral organ primordia, latter stages limited to stamens and carpels, and early stages of developing seeds [39,40]. At5g66940 was down regulated in the strubbelig-like mutant (slm) in which plant organogenesis controlled by SLM genes, was one of genes responsive to SLM genes [23]. AtOBP1 was recently reported upregulating cell cycle specific genes, shortening G1 phase and overall length of the cell cycle, function as specific cell division regulator [38].

Among AhDofs, GW391728 shared the highest similarity to PBF at N terminal sequences including zinc finger domain (65%, 55 out of 84 aa), which belong to group VII [1], thus GW391728 is also possibly a cis DNA element P box-like binding factor. Besides, GW-391728 has the highest similarity to ACF74278/ DQ-889513 from peanut line Luhua14 with sequence identity of 169/181 aa (93%), but is much less similar to protein sequence of GO324523 from peanut line Tifrunner (**Figure 2(b**)), and did not found similar ests in peanut GT-C20R or other cultivars in genebank database. Comparison of protein sequences from eight cultivars reveals that GW391729 is possible related to the seed number in

fruits. But it is only display such phenomenon among 8 evolutionally closed cultivars. GW391729 is also has possible function on leaf disease resistance, thus its function may related to development and possible cooperate with other DOF proteins.

The protein sequences of GW391723 and GW391729 shared similarities to that of At2G28810 with 48% at 76 aa/157aa and 59% at 61aa/103 aa respectively. Amino sequence of GW391723 has a conserved group V motif 17 at N-termial domain (**Table 1**) and possibly belong to group V [1], but which of GW391729 does not have similar sequence in other domains besides DOF zinc finger domain with known DOF proteins. Group V *At2G28810* expressed in all stages but not in late pod stages [39,40]. It is preferred expressed in phloem companion cells. Thus, it has a possible role in differentiation of vascular tissue [24].

### 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Hubei province (Bzy05011) and 863 project (2006AA10A114).

#### REFERENCES

- Yanagisawa, S. (2002) The Dof family of plant transcription factors. *Trends in Plant Science*, 7, 555-560. doi:10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02362-2
- [2] Lijavetzky, D., Carbonero, P. and Vicente-Carbajosa, J. (2003) Genome-wide comparative phylogenetic analysis of the rice and Arabidopsis Dof gene families. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **3**, 17-27. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-3-17
- [3] Umemura, Y., Ishiduka, T., Yamamoto, R. and Esaka, M. (2004) The Dof domain, a zinc finger DNA-binding domain conserved only in higher plants, truly functions as a Cys2/Cys2 n finger domain. *Plant Journal*, **37**, 741-749. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2003.01997.x
- [4] Yang, X., Tuskan, G.A. and Cheng, Z. (2006) Divergence of the Dof gene families in poplar, arabidopsis, and rice suggests multiple modes of gene evolution after duplication. *Plant Physiology*, **142**, 820-830. doi:10.1104/pp.106.083642
- [5] Wang, H., Zhang, B., Hao, Y., Huang, J., Tian, A., Liao, Y., Zhang, J. and Chen, S. (2007) The soybean Dof-type transcription factor genes, GmDof4 and GmDof11, enhance lipid content in the seeds of transgenic Arabidopsis plants. *Plant Journal*, **52**, 716-729. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03268.x
- [6] Yanagisawa, S. (1995) A novel DNA-binding domain that may form a single zinc finger motif. *Nucleic Acids Re*search, 23, 3403-3410. doi:10.1093/nar/23.17.3403
- Yanagisawa S. (1997) Dof DNA-binding domains of plant transcription factors contribute to multiple proteinprotein interactions. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 250, 403-410. doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1997.0403a.x
- [8] Yanagisawa, S. and Schmidt, R.J. (1999) Diversity and

similarity among recognition sequences of Dof transcription factors. *Plant Journal*, **17**, 209-214. doi:10.1046/i.1365-313X.1999.00363.x

- [9] Vicente-Carbajosa, J., Moose, S.P., Parsons, R.L., Parsons R.L. and Schmidt R.J.(1997) A maize zinc-finger protein binds the prolamin box in zein gene promoters and interacts with the basic leucine zipper transcriptional activator Opaque2. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 94, 7685-7690. doi:10.1073/pnas.94.14.7685
- [10] Diaz, I., Vicente-Carbajosa, J., Abraham, Z., Martínez, M., Isabel-La, Moneda, I. and Carbonero, P. (2002) The GAMYB protein from barley interacts with the DOF transcription factor BPBF and activate endosperm-specific genes during seed germination. *Plant Journal*, 29, 453-464. doi:10.1046/j.0960-7412.2001.01230.x
- [11] Albani, D., Hammond-Kosack, M.C.U., Smith, C., Conlan, S., Colot, V., Holdsworth, M. and Bevan, M.W. (1997) The wheat transcription activator SPA. A seed-specific bZIP protein that recognizes the GCN4-like motif in the bifactorial endosperm box of prolamin genes. *Plant Cell*, 9, 171-184.
- [12] Vicente-Carbajosa, J., Onate, L., Lara, P., Diaz, I. and Carbonero, P. (1998) Barley BLZ1: A bZIP transcriptional activator that interacts with endosperm-specific gene promoters. *Plant Journal*, **13**, 629-640. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.1998.00068.x
- [13] Dong, G.Q., Ni, Z.F., Yao, Y.Y., Nie, X.L. and Sun, Q.X. (2007) Wheat Dof transcription factor WPBF interacts with TaQM and activates transcription of an alpha-gliadin gene during wheat seed development. *Plant Molecular Biology*, **63**, 73-84. doi:10.1007/s11103-006-9073-3
- [14] Zhang, B., Chen, W., Foley, R.C., Buttner, M. and Singh, K.B. (1995) Interaction between distinct types of DNA binding proteins enhance binding to ocs element promoter sequences. *Plant Cell*, 7, 2241-2252.
- [15] Yanagisawa, S. (2000) Dof1 and Dof2 transcription factors are associated with expression of multiple genes involved in carbon metabolism in maize. *Plant Journal*, 21, 281-288. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00685.x
- [16] Viquez, O.M., Konan, K.N. and Dodo, H.W. (2003) Structure and organization of the genomic clone of a major peanut allergen gene, *Ara h 1. Molecular Immunology*, **40**, 565-571. doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2003.09.002
- [17] Viquez, O.M., Konan, K.N. and Dodo, H.W. (2004) Genomic organization of peanut allergen gene, *Ara h 3. Molecular Immunology*, **41**, 1235-1240. doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2004.06.033
- [18] Wang, J., Yan, H.Y. and Kang, Q.S. (2005) Construction of a plant transgenic expression vector of peanut. *Journal* of Wuhan Botanical Research, 23, 514-518.
- [19] Day R.C., Herridge R.P., Ambrose B.A. and Macknight R.C. (2008) Transcriptome analysis of proliferating arabidopsis endosperm reveals biological implications for the control of syncytial division, cytokinin signaling, and gene expression regulation. *Plant Physiology*, **148**, 1964-1984. doi:10.1104/pp.108.128108

- [20] Guo, Y., Qin, G., Gu, H. and Qu, L. (2009) *Dof*5.6/*HCA2*, a *Dof* transcription factor gene, regulates interfascicular cambium formation and vascular tissue development in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, **21**, 3518-3534. doi:10.1105/tpc.108.064139
- [21] Ogawa, M., Hanada, A., Yamauchi, Y., Kuwahara, A., Kamiya, Y. and Yamaguchi, S. (2003) Gibberellin biosynthesis and response during arabidopsis seed germination. *Plant Cell*, **15**, 1591-1604. <u>doi:10.1105/tpc.011650</u>
- [22] Depuydt, S., Trenkamp, S., Fernie, A.R., Elftieh, S., Renou, J., Vuylsteke, M., Holsters, M. and Vereecke, D. (2009) An integrated genomics approach to define niche establishment by *Rhodococcus fascians*. *Plant Physiology*, 149, 1366-1386. doi:10.1104/pp.108.131805
- [23] Wellmer, F., Alves-Ferreira, M., Dubois, A., Riechmann, J.L. and Meyerowitz, E.M. (2006) Genome-wide analysis of gene expression during early arabidopsis flower development. *PLoS Genetics*, 2, 1012-1024. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020117
- [24] Fulton, L., Batoux, M., Vaddepalli, P., Yadav, R.K., Busch, W., Andersen, S.U., Jeong, S., Lohmann, J.U. and Schneitz, K. (2009) DETORQUEO, QUIRKY, and ZERZAUST represent novel components involved in organ development mediated by the receptor-like kinase STRUBBELIG in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS Genetics*, 5, 1-22. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000355
- [25] Zhao, C., Craig, J.C., Petzold, H.E., Dickerman, A.W. and Beers, E.P. (2005) The xylem and phloem transcriptomes from secondary tissues of the arabidopsis root-hypocotyl. *Plant Physiology*, **138**, 803-818. doi:10.1104/pp.105.060202
- [26] Ward, J.M., Cufr, C.A., Denzel, M.A. and Neff, M.M. (2005) The Dof transcription factor OBP3 modulates phytochrome and cryptochrome signaling in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, **17**, 475-485. <u>doi:10.1105/tpc.104.027722</u>
- [27] Mouhu, K., Hytönen, T., Folta, K., Rantanen, M., Paulin, L., Auvinen, P. and Elomaa, P. (2009)Identification of flowering genes in strawberry, a perennial SD plant. *BMC Plant Biology*, 9, 122-137. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-9-122
- [28] Borges, F., Gomes, G., Gardner, R., Moreno, N., McCormick, S., Feijó, J.A. and Becker, J.D. (2008) Comparative transcriptomics of arabidopsis sperm cells. *Plant Physi*ology, **148**, 1168-1181. doi:10.1104/pp.108.125229
- [29] Para, A., Farré, E.M., Imaizumi, T., Pruneda-Paz, J.L., Harmon, F.G. and Kay, S.A. (2007) PRR3 is a vascular regulator of TOC1 stability in the arabidopsis circadian clock. *Plant Cell*, **19**, 3462-3473. doi:10.1105/tpc.107.054775
- [30] Chawade, A., Bräutigam, M., Lindlöf, A., Olsson, O. and Olsson, B. (2007) Putative cold acclimation pathways in *Arabidopsis thaliana* identified by a combined analysis of mRNA co-expression patterns, promoter motifs and transcription factors. *BMC Genomics*, 8, 304-318. <u>doi:10.1186/1471-2164-8-304</u>
- [31] Kreps, J.A., Wu, Y., Chang, H., Zhu, T., Wang, X. and Harper, J.F. (2002) Transcriptome changes for arabidopsis in response to salt, osmotic, and cold stress. *Plant Physi*ology, **130**, 2129-2141. doi:10.1104/pp.008532
- [32] Budhiraja, R., Hermkes, R., Müller, S., Schmidt, J., Colby,

Copyright © 2012 SciRes.

T., Panigrahi, K., Coupland, G. and Bachmair, A. (2009) Substrates related to chromatin and to RNA-dependent processes are modified by arabidopsis SUMO isoforms that differ in a conserved residue with influence on desumoylation. *Plant Physiology*, **149**, 1529-1540. doi:10.1104/pp.108.135053

- [33] Rohde, A., Morreel, K., Ralph, J., Goeminne, G., Hostyn, V., Rycke, R.D., Kushnir, S., Doorsselaere, J.V., Joseleau, J., Vuylsteke, M., Van Driessche, G., Van Beeumen, J., Messens, E. and Boerjan, W. (2004) Molecular phenotyping of the *pal1* and *pal2* mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* reveals far-reaching consequences on phenylpropanoid, amino acid, and carbohydrate metabolism. *Plant Cell*, 16, 2749- 2771. doi:10.1105/tpc.104.023705
- [34] Khanna, R., Shen, Y., Toledo-Ortiz, G., Kikis, E.A., Johannesson, H., Hwang, Y. and Quail, P.H. (2006) Functional profiling reveals that only a small number of phytochrome-regulated early-response genes in arabidopsis are necessary for optimal deetiolation. *Plant Cell*, 18, 2157-2171. doi:10.1105/tpc.106.042200
- [35] Cheong, Y.H., Chang, H., Gupta, R., Wang, X., Zhu, T., Luan, S. (2002) Transcriptional profiling reveals novel interactions between wounding, pathogen, abiotic stress, and hormonal responses in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*, **129**, 661-677. <u>doi:10.1104/pp.002857</u>
- [36] Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. and Lipman, D.J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 25, 3389-3402. doi:10.1093/nar/25.17.3389
- [37] Stanke, M., Diekhans, M., Baertsch, R. and Haussler, D. (2008)Using native and syntenically mapped cDNA alignments to improve *de novo* gene finding, *Bioinformatics*, 24, 637-644. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn013
- [38] Yan, H., Ding, Y. and Wu, Y. (2009) Genetic engineering and molecular biology lab tutorial. Wuhan University Publisher, Wuhan, 3-9.
- [39] Skirycz, A., Radziejwoski, A., Busch, W., Hannah, M.A., Czeszejko, J., Kwaśniewski, M., Zanor, M., Lohmann, J.U., De Veylder, L., Witt, I. and Mueller-Roeber, B. (2008) The DOF transcription factor OBP1 is involved in cell cycle regulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Journal*, **56**, 779-792. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03641.x
- [40] Hoffmann, R. and Valencia, A. (2004) A gene network for navigating the literature. *Nature Genetics*, 36, 664. <u>doi:10.1038/ng0704-664</u>
- [41] Hoffmann, R. and Valencia, A. (2004) iHOP-Information Hyperlinked over Proteins. <u>http://www.ihop-net.org/</u>
- [42] Varagona, M.J., Schmidt, R.J. and Raikhel, N.V. (1992) Nuclear localization signals required for nuclear targeting of the maize regulatory protein Opaque-2. *Plant Cell*, 4, 1213-1227.
- [43] Hicks, C.R., Smith, H.M.S., Shieh, M. and Raikhel, N.V. (1995) Three classes of nuclear import signals bind to plant nuclei. *Plant Physiology*, **107**, 1055-1058. doi:10.1104/pp.107.4.1055
- [44] Jung, S., Tate, P.L., Horn, R., Kochert, G., Moore, K. and Abbott, A.G. (2003) The phylogenetic relationship of

possible progenitors of the cultivated peanut, *Journal of Heredity*, **94**, 334-340. <u>doi:10.1093/jhered/esg061</u>

- [45] Imaizumi, T., Schultz, T.F., Harmon, F.G., Ho, L.A. and Kay, S.A. (2005) FKF1 F-Box protein mediates cyclic degradation of a repressor of CONSTANS in arabidopsis. *Science*, **309**, 293-297. doi:10.1126/science.1110586
- [46] Sawa, M., Nusinow, D.A., Kay, S.A. and Imaizum, T. (2007) FKF1 and GIGANTEA complex formation is re-

quired for day-length measurement in arabidopsis. *Science*, **318**, 261-265. <u>doi:10.1126/science.1146994</u>

[47] Fornara, F., Panigrahi, K.C.S., Gissot, L., Sauerbrunn, N., Rühl, M., Jarillo, J.A. and Coupland, G. (2009) Arabidopsis DOF transcription factors act redundantly to reduce CONSTANS expression and are essential for a photoperiodic flowering response. *Development Cell*, **17**, 75-86. <u>doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2009.06.015</u>